

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/647,475  | 08/26/2003  | Lim Su Lee           | 8733.311.10-US      | 2307             |  |
| 30827 7590 03/14/2007<br>MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP<br>1900 K STREET, NW |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MARKOFF, ALEXANDER  |                  |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             | ·                    | 1746                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 03/14/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s) |             |  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| 10/647,475        | LEE, LIM SU  | LEE, LIM SU |  |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit     |             |  |  |
| Alexander Markoff | 1746         |             |  |  |
|                   |              |             |  |  |

|   | Alexander Markoff  | 1746  |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add                                    | ress                                    |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C         | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or            |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F   | f the final rejection.                                |   |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>tutory period for reply originally set in the                       | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b)   |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o                               | f the appeal.                           |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brie   | f will not be entered b                               | necause                                 |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | nsideration and/or search (see NC  | TE below);  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | w);  | ·   | the issues for                          |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally re   | jected claims.  | •                                       |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | ·  | ompliant Amendment                                    | (PTOL-324).                             |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li></ol>   | llowable if submitted in a separate  | , timely filed amendm                                 | ent canceling                           |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w   | vill be entered and an                                | explanation of                          |  |  |  |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence is                              | s necessary                             |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | vercome all rejections under appe  | al and/or appellant fai                               | ls to provide a                         |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attac                               | hed.                                    |  |  |  |
| 11. Main The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.   | t does NOT place the application i   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other:  ALEXANDER MARKOES  Alexander Markoff   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | ALEXANDER MARKOFF  | Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner                    |   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1746

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the claims to remove some of the previously presented limitations and to introduce new limitations, which were not previously presented. The proposed limitations raise new issues, including issues of compliance with the requirements of 35 USC 112(1) and (2), that would require further consideration and /or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants rely on the proposed limitations, which would not be entered.